IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 37219

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 531
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: June 28, 2010
v.	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
DONALD ALLEN GRUNDVIG,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Twin Falls County. Hon. G. Richard Bevan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for possession of methamphetamine, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, GUTIERREZ, Judge and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Donald Allen Grundvig was convicted of possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c)(1). The district court imposed a unified sentence of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years. Grundvig appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Grundvig's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.